The Chicago Tribune.

VOLUME 26. CHICAGO, WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 4, 1872—SIX PAGES. NUMBER 22.

THE CAMPAIGN.

The Grant-Duncan Side-Show at Louisville.

Meeting and Organization Yesterday.

Judge Joseph Lyons, of Virginia, Permanent President.

Charles O'Conor Declines the Nomination for President.

Speeches by Blanton Duncan, Judge Lyons, Chauncey Barr, and Others.

The Labor Reform Element an Apple of Discord.

Senator Trumbull at Dayton, Ohio.

The Liberal Cause in Southern Illinois.

Close of Senator Schurz's Canvass of Indiana.

The Vermont Gubernatorial Election.

Miscellaneous Political Notes of the Campaign.

THE GRANT-DUNCAN SIDE-SHOW AT LOUISVILLE—A MOTLEY GATHERING—JUDGE JOSEPH LYONS, OF VIRGINIA, PERMANENT PRESIDENT—CHARLES O'CONOR DECLINES THE HONOR(?) OF A NOMINATION—HIS LETTER OF DECLINATION—SCENES AND INCIDENTS.

Special Despatch to The Chicago Tribune.

LOUISVILLE, Ky., Sept. 3.—At a quarter past 1 o'clock there were about 600 persons in the Court room, including nearly 200 newspaper reporters, J. W. Simonton, of the Associated Press, has a table near the middle of the space reserved in the bar. A small boy carried a bucket among the reporters and carried favor. Duncan got up and called upon a preacher named Platt to pray. Platt had a prayer written out. He looked up to Heaven, shut his eyes, and, then opening them, proceeded to read his petition. At the same time, Rev. Mr. Robinson was holding a session of the Ecclesiastical Court in another place. Duncan, wearing a dirty white flannel suit and with a bloodless face, rose, after the prayer, and read a speech of triumph, but for him not a very forward or impudent production. He got three cheers when he ceased, and invited Levi S. Chatfield, of New York, to the stand. This ex-Speaker of the New York Assembly was a great improvement on Duncan to look at. He had a white beard, white gray eyes, and a steady, old-fashioned gaze, and spoke well. An ex-Tammany man, named Oliver, offered three cheers for Hon. Samuel Jones Jefferson, James Madison, James Monroe, and others of the early apostles of the Democracy had been left out. Chatfield said he had come to be tolerably familiar. [Applause.] It was in this school I learned my political creed, and I have acted from them that duty, and I shall not therefore, rents, set the bad example in the start of the Democratic party of the State. I would draw back from the responsibility of the position. I want to say a few brief words on one of the resolutions adopted by the Cincinnati Convention, for I know myself as a delegate to that Convention, for I know myself as a delegate to that Convention, for I know myself as a delegate to that

'REELEY OR GRANT?

Address of Hon. Charles Sumner.

A Comparison of the Two Candidates for the Presidency.

Counts in the Indictment Against President Grant.

The One-Term Principle the Corner-Stone of a Reformed Civil Service.

Reconciliation the Most Important Issue Ever Presented to the American People.

Mr. Sumner's Personal Record on the Subject of Peace and Reconciliation.

The Adhesion of the Democratic Party to the Liberal Movement.

Faith in Horace Greeley.

Aug. 24, the Liberal Republican State Committee of Massachusetts addressed a note to the Hon. Charles Sumner, inviting him to address his constituents on public affairs, in Faneuil Hall, at an early day. To this Mr. Sumner responded, under date of Aug. 30, that he was obliged, "with inexpressible pain and regret," to decline the invitation, on account of the state of his health,—his physician having advised him that he must not, for the present, make any public effort. Mr. Sumner closes his letter as follows:

In submitting most reluctantly to these admonitions, I cannot renounce the privilege of communicating with my fellow citizens, and, therefore, hand you a copy of what, with the blessing of health, I hoped to say. In the House of Representatives, sometimes, I have spoken from notes; ordered to be printed. You may follow this precedent with mine, or do what it as you please.

The following is the address Mr. Sumner thus refers to:

Following is the address:

It is on the invitation of the State Committee of Liberal Republicans that I have the honor of addressing you on political affairs, in Faneuil Hall, at an early day. To this Mr. Sumner responded, under date of Aug. 30, that he was obliged, "with inexpressible pain and regret," to decline the invitation, on account of the state of his health,—his physician having advised him that he must not, for the present, make any public effort. Mr. Sumner closes his letter as follows:

In submitting most reluctantly to these admonitions, I cannot renounce the privilege of communicating with my fellow citizens, and, therefore, hand you a copy of what, with the blessing of health, I hoped to say. In the House of Representatives, sometimes, I have spoken from notes; ordered to be printed. You may follow this precedent with mine, or do what it as you please.

The following is the address Mr. Sumner thus refers to:

Following is the address:

It is on the invitation of the State Committee of Liberal Republicans that I have the honor of addressing you on public affairs, in Faneuil Hall, at an early day. To this I am ready to respond, as a question of duty, whatever may be the personal inconvenience. I am glad to speak on the great issue before us. If I am frank and plain, it will be only according to my nature, and the requirement of duty at this time. But I shall be brief, in respect, as a precaution against the heat, which I cannot but question. I keep within the line of moderate statement, when I say that from the beginning of our government, nothing in our foreign relations has been so absolutely indefensible. It will not do to call it simply a fault and an inadvertence. It was, and still remains, a case, conceived in lust of territory, pursued in ignorance, manifested in open violation of the Neutrality Act, with persistency in the face of solemn protests, and finally consummated in a flagrant violation of International Law in fundamental principles, set everywhere else than in the indignity to the Black Republic even to the extent of assuming hostilities and the sinking of its ships, all without the shadow of a pretext. Such, in brief, is the undisputed, prerogative alone. In this drama the President, like a favorite actor, assumed every part. In negotiating the treaty he was the President; in declaring war he was Congress; in sending ships and men, he was Commander-in-Chief; and when, by a monstrous perversion of words, he reported to Congress "that the United States will not, by any act of theirs, be involved in the contest," which is forbidden in politics as in morals.

Let us now look at the conduct of the President from voting age to the present time. Here I am free to say that I decline to speak of him personally. Such personality would not take a gift even when set off with flourishes of the rhetoric which is associated with the name of Alexander Hamilton. Nor is it my habit to shrink from responsibility. But the doubt that beset me was removed when I saw how deeply the judgment of the President opposed to President Grant, being an original Republican already nominated by a Republican convention of the same party which has nominated publican platform on which he was nominated. An old party which had long stood out against the Republican name has come to his help; a Republican platform, the best ever adopted, with a Republican candidate, who was the most devoted Republican in the country, are insufficient to overcome the results of the war and offering the land of reconciliation. At once the character of the contest was changed, and I was relieved from me if I say that to me it was of peculiar interest that I should have sought to establish in the National Government the great principles of the Declaration of Independence, governing the relations of the race so soon nobody should surpass me in generous devotion to former rebels. Not only by the logic of my life, but by conviction and by vow, I was bound to become those who placed themselves on this glorious platform. The extent of this obligation will appear more clearly when I show the complete harmonizes with the opposition to the prolonged misrule of the present incumbent.

WHY I AM FOR GREELEY.

Evidently I am not at liberty to abstain from voting. In considering the reasons in favor of Horace Greeley, two, differing in character but of chief importance; first, that he represents a reformed Civil Service, and, secondly, that he accepts the One-Term Principle, without which this reform is too much like a sham; and secondly, that he represents harmony and peace between the two races, which is essential to the repose of the country and the safeguarding of equal rights.

To these must be added that he does not represent these personal pretensions so utterly inconsistent with Republican government which are now known as Grantism. In voting for Horace Greeley, you will not sustain nepotism; you will not sanction the prostitution of the Civil Service; and you will not lend your sanction to the St. Domingo machination, with its unconstitutional usurpations, its violations of international law, and its indignity to the Black Republic. Rise where I have considered these fully, and I am not aware of any answer to the undeniable facts I shall only glance at them now.

NEPOTISM.

Nepotism is already condemned by history and most justly; for it is obviously a form of self-seeking, hostile to purity of government, and to a republican form of government, and should be the ruin of our President. If the promptings of his better nature do not check them, he must be forcibly restrained by the public opinion. It is an irresistible obligation of his position. As he does, so will others do; and therefore must his example be watched. Nothing in Washington's career has shone with more constant light than his refusal to confer office upon his relations. At this time, when unrelated attention, not only at home, but abroad, finding praise in England. Of this there is a notable instance in the life of the Earl of Orford, published at London in 1798, in an article entitled, "Interesting and Authentic Documents relative to the Character of Sir Robert Walpole," a homage: The execution of the office of the Chief Magistrate by any one attended by a circumstance for which to an aspiring world, requires no commentary. A native citizen of the United States, transferred from private life to that station, has not, during a long term of years, appointed a single relation to any office of honor or emolument. (Vol. IV., p. 121.) By such confession an admiring world looked on. Something would I do: something, I trust, the American people will do at the coming election, to secure this beautiful praise yet again for our country.

GIFT TAKING.

Like nepotism the taking of gifts by public servants is condemned by history. No honest nature can uphold it. How shall did our late Senator Thomas, so admirable in character, refuse a present of $100,000, as I am told, "let it go to my son Isaiah." If not a form of bribery it is blasted in nature, and must be regarded. From the Bible down to our day. According to the latter it is denunciatory. "The King by judgment establisheth the land: but he that receiveth gifts overthroweth it." (*Proverbs*, xxix, v. 4.) Here again is the example of Washington, who refused all gifts. Nor shall I forget the case of Colonial Days. The same President who would not accept a relation would not take a gift even when set off with flourishes of the rhetoric which is associated with the name of Alexander Hamilton. As long ago as April 24, 1789, Sir Annis, in communication to Lord Cornbury, Governor of New Jersey, laid down the following rule: That neither the Governor, nor Commander-in-Chief, nor President of the Council, nor any one of them, nor any bill, or present from the Assembly or otherwise, in any manner or way whatsoever upon pain of our highest displeasure, be presumed to proceed from your Governorship." This rule is we could ere this day go for that in which it was enacted by royal authority.

There is similar instance which should not be forgotten. Lord Wellington, the second-in-command brother of the Duke of Wellington, refused, so common as that of Sailes on Earl of India, Governor General of India, positively refused a present of Richard Brinsley Sheridan, as the East India Company, after the former had left office. Before the terms of his refusal are important. "It is," he says, "necessary for me to adhere to the independence of my office, and to the proper dignity attaching to it, above all considerations of pecuniary emolument. Considerations like these do incline this testimony which is not suited to be mine. I think of nothing that the army, I ought to be more different from that the share of those brave soldiers." His letter remained unanswered. At a later period, when nearly 80 years of age, he harrassed, by clubs, and cattery withdrawn from public life, he allowed the Commons to vote him a much smaller sum in consideration of his actual services.

GIFT-MAKERS APPOINTED TO OFFICE.

The subversive vices by Parliament to Marlborough and Wellington on account of their victories, can be presented for the acceptance of gifts from fellow-citizens. The distinction is clear. But the case against the present incumbent is not only that of the holder of gifts, but of the giver of gifts from fellow-citizens, but subsequently appointed the gift-makers to office, thus using the Presidency to pay off his own personal obligations. Please bear this in mind, and when Senate appoint attempts to defend the taking of gifts, let him know that he must go still further and show that the Presidency, also, is an investment, a speculation to be employed for the private advantage of the incumbent.

ST. DOMINGO.

Next in illustration of the prevailing calamity is the St. Domingo business, with its enormities of wrong doing, and this is now in issue at the bar of this great tribunal, the supreme where wrong seems to shrink, where strong sentences shall receive forcible expression, to a question which is not to be silent; but I shall be brief. The case is great, and stands on declaration of which cannot be questioned. I keep within the line of moderate statement, when I say that from the beginning of our government, nothing in our foreign relations has been so absolutely indefensible. It will not do to call it simply a fault and an inadvertence. It was, and still remains, a case, conceived in lust of territory, pursued in ignorance, manifested in open violation of the Neutrality Act, with persistency in the face of solemn protests, and finally consummated in a flagrant violation of International Law in fundamental principles, set everywhere else than in the indignity to the Black Republic even to the extent of assuming hostilities and the sinking of its ships, all without the shadow of a pretext. Such, in brief, is the undisputed, prerogative alone. In this drama the President, like a favorite actor, assumed every part. In negotiating the treaty he was the President; in declaring war he was Congress; in sending ships and men, he was Commander-in-Chief; and when, by a monstrous perversion of words, he reported to Congress "that the United States will not, by any act of theirs, be involved in the contest," which is forbidden in politics as in morals.

Let us now look at the conduct of the President from voting age to the present time. Here I am free to say that I decline to speak of him personally. Such personality would not take a gift even when set off with flourishes of the rhetoric which is associated with the name of Alexander Hamilton. Nor is it my habit to shrink from responsibility. But the doubt that beset me was removed when I saw how deeply the judgment of the President opposed to President Grant, being an original Republican already nominated by a Republican convention of the same party which has nominated publican platform on which he was nominated. An old party which had long stood out against the Republican name has come to his help; a Republican platform, the best ever adopted, with a Republican candidate, who was the most devoted Republican in the country, are insufficient to overcome the results of the war and offering the land of reconciliation. At once the character of the contest was changed, and I was relieved from me if I say that to me it was of peculiar interest that I should have sought to establish in the National Government the great principles of the Declaration of Independence, governing the relations of the race so soon nobody should surpass me in generous devotion to former rebels. Not only by the logic of my life, but by conviction and by vow, I was bound to become those who placed themselves on this glorious platform. The extent of this obligation will appear more clearly when I show the complete harmonizes with the opposition to the prolonged misrule of the present incumbent.

WHY I AM FOR GREELEY.

Evidently I am not at liberty to abstain from voting. In considering the reasons in favor of Horace Greeley, two, differing in character but of chief importance; first, that he represents a reformed Civil Service, and, secondly, that he accepts the One-Term Principle, without which this reform is too much like a sham; and secondly, that he represents harmony and peace between the two races, which is essential to the repose of the country and the safeguarding of equal rights.

To these must be added that he does not represent these personal pretensions so utterly inconsistent with Republican government which are now known as Grantism. In voting for Horace Greeley, you will not sanction nepotism; you will not sanction the prostitution of the Civil Service; and you will not lend your sanction to the St. Domingo machination, with its unconstitutional usurpations, its violations of international law, and its indignity to the Black Republic. Rise where I have considered these fully, and I am not aware of any answer to the undeniable facts I shall only glance at them now.

NEPOTISM.

Nepotism is already condemned by history and most justly; for it is obviously a form of self-seeking, hostile to purity of government, and to a republican form of government, and should be the ruin of our President. If the promptings of his better nature do not check them, he must be forcibly restrained by the public opinion. It is an irresistible obligation of his position. As he does, so will others do; and therefore must his example be watched. Nothing in Washington's career has shone with more constant light than his refusal to confer office upon his relations. At this time, when unrelated attention, not only at home, but abroad, finding praise in England. Of this there is a notable instance in the life of the Earl of Orford, published at London in 1798, in an article entitled, "Interesting and Authentic Documents relative to the Character of Sir Robert Walpole," a homage: The execution of the office of the Chief Magistrate by any one attended by a circumstance for which to an aspiring world, requires no commentary. A native citizen of the United States, transferred from private life to that station, has not, during a long term of years, appointed a single relation to any office of honor or emolument. (Vol. IV., p. 121.) By such confession an admiring world looked on. Something would I do: something, I trust, the American people will do at the coming election, to secure this beautiful praise yet again for our country.

GIFT TAKING.

Like nepotism the taking of gifts by public servants is condemned by history. No honest nature can uphold it. How shall did our late Senator Thomas, so admirable in character, refuse a present of $100,000, as I am told, "let it go to my son Isaiah." If not a form of bribery it is blasted in nature, and must be regarded. From the Bible down to our day. According to the latter it is denunciatory. "The King by judgment establisheth the land: but he that receiveth gifts overthroweth it." (*Proverbs*, xxix, v. 4.) Here again is the example of Washington, who refused all gifts. Nor shall I forget the case of Colonial Days. The same President who would not accept a relation would not take a gift even when set off with flourishes of the rhetoric which is associated with the name of Alexander Hamilton. Nor is it my habit to shrink from responsibility. But the doubt that beset me was removed when I saw how deeply the judgment of the President opposed to President Grant, being an original Republican already nominated by a Republican convention of the same party which has nominated publican platform on which he was nominated. An old party which had long stood out against the Republican name has come to his help; a Republican platform, the best ever adopted, with a Republican candidate, who was the most devoted Republican in the country, are insufficient to overcome the results of the war and offering the land of reconciliation. At once the character of the contest was changed, and I was relieved from me if I say that to me it was of peculiar interest that I should have sought to establish in the National Government the great principles of the Declaration of Independence, governing the relations of the race so soon nobody should surpass me in generous devotion to former rebels. Not only by the logic of my life, but by conviction and by vow, I was bound to become those who placed themselves on this glorious platform. The extent of this obligation will appear more clearly when I show the complete harmonizes with the opposition to the prolonged misrule of the present incumbent.

WHY I AM FOR GREELEY.

Evidently I am not at liberty to abstain from voting. In considering the reasons in favor of Horace Greeley, two, differing in character but of chief importance; first, that he represents a reformed Civil Service, and, secondly, that he accepts the One-Term Principle, without which this reform is too much like a sham; and secondly, that he represents harmony and peace between the two races, which is essential to the repose of the country and the safeguarding of equal rights.

To these must be added that he does not represent these personal pretensions so utterly inconsistent with Republican government which are now known as Grantism. In voting for Horace Greeley, you will not sustain

CHINA AND GLASSWARE.

Closing-Out Sale OF CHINA, GLASS, CROCKERY, SILVER-PLATED WARE, &c., AT A GREAT REDUCTION.

In order to save the breakage and expense attending the removal of our goods to our new store, No. 169 STATE-ST., we offer our present stock of TABLE WARES, DECORATIONS, &c., at greatly reduced prices.

I. C. LAWRENCE & CO., 487 Wabash-av.

AUCTION SALES.

BY HORSEY, REED & CO.

Dry Goods & Notions, THIS MORNING, AT 9 O'CLOCK,

Large Sale OF DWELLING HOUSES.

FOR THE FALL TRADE, AT AUCTION, On Wednesday, Sept. 4, at 9 1-2 a. m., 600 CASES OF ALL GRADES OF MEN'S, BOYS', & YOUTHS' BOOTS, And 125 CASES Women's, Misses', & Children's Assorted Goods,

BY ELISON & FOSTER.

Dry Goods, Piece Goods, Furnishing Goods, Notions, Etc., AT AUCTION, ON WEDNESDAY, SEPT. 4, AT 9 O'CLOCK, AT 88 & 90 WABASH-AV.

BOOTS AND SHOES, AT AUCTION, On THURSDAY, Sept. 5, at 9 1-2 o'clock, at 88 & 90 WABASH-AV.

SPECIAL AUCTION SALE OF DRY GOODS, Thursday, Sept. 5, at 91-2 o'clock a. m., At the Store of the Subscribers, No. 87 Dearborn-st., (Opposite the Sherman House), Consisting of a Large and Desirable Assortment of Seasonable Goods, Embracing Prints, Ginghams, Cassimeres, Cottonades, Linen Goods, Hosiery, Gloves, Underwear, Notions, Etc., Etc.

SALE OF NEW FURNITURE, On THURSDAY, Sept. 5, at 9 1-2 o'clock a. m., at 88 & 90 WABASH-AV.

SALE OF DRY GOODS, On FRIDAY MORNING, Sept. 6, at 9 o'clock, at the Store of the Subscribers, No. 87 Dearborn-st., (Opposite the Sherman House), Consisting of a Large and Desirable Assortment of Seasonable Goods, Embracing Prints, Ginghams, Cassimeres, Cottonades, Linen Goods, Hosiery, Gloves, Underwear, Notions, Etc., Etc.

SALE OF HORSES & BUGGIES, On FRIDAY MORNING, Sept. 6, at 10 o'clock, at 13 Market-st., Between Randolph and South Water-sts.

EDUCATIONAL PRIVILEGES.

AUCTION SALE AT North Evanston, BY T. S. FITCH & CO., OF 50 FINE RESIDENCE LOTS, On SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 14, 1872.

GLOVES. CHOSSON'S CELEBRATED KID AND CASTOR GLOVES.

MONETARY AND COMMERCIAL.

MONEY.

THURSDAY, P. M., Sept. 4.

The Chicago market is easier than ever to-day, and there is no doubt that it will be easier still before the end of the week. There is no little change in the current rates of discount, though profits, as a rule, are not quite so large as they were a week ago. The current rate of business is 7@8 per cent, but quotations are frequently made as low as 6 per cent, and as high as 10 per cent. Those who have paper to sell are willing to make concessions in order to secure it, and those who have money to lend are willing to lend it at a reduction, rather than run the risk of keeping it idle. There is no change in the rates of exchange on the East, but the supply is increasing, and the demand is not. Sterling is quoted at 9@9 1/2 premium, and francs at 5.20@5.17 1/2. Gold is quoted at 112 1/2@113 1/2, and silver at 107@108. The following are the clearings and balances for the week ending to-day, with the corresponding figures for last year:

	1872.	1871.
Monday	$ 6,000,000	$ 5,000,000
Tuesday	6,000,000	5,000,000
Wednesday	6,000,000	5,000,000
Thursday	6,000,000	5,000,000
Friday	6,000,000	5,000,000
Saturday	6,000,000	5,000,000
Total	$ 36,000,000	$ 30,000,000
Balances	3,000,000	2,000,000

The following are the receipts and shipments of currency and coin for the week ending to-day:

	Receipts.	Shipments.
Monday	$ 1,000,000	$ 1,000,000
Tuesday	1,000,000	1,000,000
Wednesday	1,000,000	1,000,000
Thursday	1,000,000	1,000,000
Friday	1,000,000	1,000,000
Saturday	1,000,000	1,000,000
Total	$ 6,000,000	$ 6,000,000

The following are the receipts and shipments of currency and coin for the last twenty-four hours:

	Receipts.	Shipments.
	$ 1,000,000	$ 1,000,000

COMMERCIAL.

THURSDAY EVENING, Sept. 5.

The following are the receipts of flour and grain for the last twenty-four hours:

	1872.	1871.
Flour, bbls	10,000	10,000
Wheat, bush	100,000	100,000
Corn, bush	100,000	100,000
Oats, bush	100,000	100,000
Rye, bush	100,000	100,000
Barley, bush	100,000	100,000

Withdrawn from store on Saturday for consumption: 17,000 bu wheat; 3,000 bu corn; 1,000 bu oats; 1,000 bu rye; 1,000 bu barley

The Chicago Tribune.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION (PAYABLE IN ADVANCE).
Daily Edition, per year, by Mail.....$12.00
Tri-Weekly Edition, per year, by Mail..... 6 00
Sunday Edition, per year, by Mail..... 2 50
Weekly Edition, per year, by Mail..... 2 00
Parts of a year at the same rate.
To prevent delay and mistakes, be sure and give Post Office address in full, including State and county.
Remittances may be made either by draft, express, Post Office order, or in registered letters, at our risk.
TERMS TO CITY SUBSCRIBERS.
Daily, delivered, Sunday excepted, 45 cents per week.
Daily, delivered, Sunday included, 50 cents per week.
Address THE TRIBUNE COMPANY,
No. 15 Canal-st., Chicago, Ill.

TRIBUNE Branch Office, No. 409 Wabash-av., in the Bookstore of Messrs. Cobb, Andrews & Co., where advertisements and subscriptions will be received, and will secure the same attention as if left at the Main Office.

Wednesday Morning, September 4, 1872.

WEEKLY CAMPAIGN TRIBUNE.

THE WEEKLY CAMPAIGN TRIBUNE will be sent to mail subscribers till Nov. 15, at the following rates:

Single copy	$0.25
Ten copies	2.00
Twenty-five copies	5.00
One hundred copies	20.00

Remittances may be made either by draft, express, Post Office order, or in registered letters, at our risk.

Address THE TRIBUNE COMPANY,
Chicago, Illinois.

CAMPAIGN DOCUMENTS.

Read and Circulate.

THE CHICAGO TRIBUNE has now ready five STERLING CAMPAIGN DOCUMENTS, in pamphlet form, sixteen pages each, being the commencement of a series to be issued during the campaign:

No. 1. Carl Schurz's Great Speech at St. Louis;

No. 2. Sumner's Celebrated Speech in the Senate;

No. 3. Trumbull's Speech at Springfield; together with the Cincinnati Platform, and Mr. Greeley's Letter of Acceptance.

No. 4. Speech of the Hon. John F. Farnsworth at St. Charles, Ill., and Horace Greeley's Speech at Portland, Maine.

No. 5. Speeches of the Hon. J. D. Caton and the Hon. W. M. Springer at Chicago.

Sent on receipt of the price, at following rates:

Single copies, by mail, postpaid	$ 10
50 copies, by mail	1.50
100 copies, by mail	2.50
500 copies, by express	10.00
1,000 copies, by express	15.00

Send orders to Rand, McNally & Co., Chicago.

LIBERAL APPOINTMENTS.

Governor Koerner.

THE Hon. G. Koerner will address the people as follows:

Sept. 5, at Du Quoin.
Sept. 6, at Centralia.
Sept. 7, at Carlyle.

General J. C. Black.

General John Charles Black will speak as follows:

Clinton, Sept. 4.
Charleston, Sept. 6.
Mendota, Sept. 10.
Carrollton, Sept. 11.
Rock Island, Sept. 13.
Virginia, Sept. 14.
Champaign, Sept. 16.
Jacksonville, Sept. 16.
Warsaw, Sept. 17.
Clayton, Sept. 18.

Governor B. Gratz Brown.

Governor B. Gratz Brown will speak as follows:

Springfield, Sept. 5.

V. B Denslow.

Mr. V. B. Denslow will speak as follows:

Metropolis, Massac County, Sept. 3.
Golconda, Pope County, Sept. 7.
Shawneetown, Gallatin County, Sept. 9.
Carmi, White County, Sept. 10.
McLeansboro, Hamilton County, Sept. 11.

Governor Brose.

The Hon. Wm. Brose will speak as follows:

Fairfield, Wayne County, Sept. 13.
Albion, White County, Sept. 14.
Mt. Carmel, Wabash County, Sept. 16.
Lawrenceville, Lawrence County, Sept. 18.
Xenia, Clay County, Sept. 20.
Salem, Marion County, Sept. 21.
Mt. Vernon, Jefferson County, Sept. 23.
Benton, Franklin County, Sept. 24.
Carbondale, Jackson County, Sept. 25.
Ronalitz, Gallatin County, Sept. 26.

The Hon. J. C. Allen.

The Hon. J. C. Allen will speak at the following places and dates:

Sullivan, Moultrie County, Sept. 16.
Danville, Vermillion County, Sept. 18.
Monticello, Piatt County, Sept. 19.
Olney, Richland County, Sept. 21.
Watseka, Iroquis County, Sept. 24.
Paxton, Ford County, Sept. 25.
Carthage, Hancock County, Sept. 27.
Macomb, McDonough County, Sept. 28.
Rushville, Schuyler County, Sept. 30.
Beardstown, Cass County, Oct. 1.
Pittsfield, Pike County, Oct. 3.
Jacksonville, Morgan County, Oct. 4.
Jerseyville, Jersey County, Oct. 5.
Alton, Madison County, Oct. 7.
Greenville, Bond County, Oct. 8.
Vandalia, Fayette County, Oct. 11.

The Hon. B. G. Caulfield.

The Hon. B. G. Caulfield will speak as follows:

Champaign City, Wednesday, Sept. 4, night.
Kankakee, Thursday, Sept. 5, night.

Sidney Thomas.

Mr. Sidney Thomas will speak as follows:

Geneva, Kane County, Sept. 6.
Manteno, Kankakee County, Sept. 6.
Ottawa, LaSalle County, Sept. 7.

The Hon. John Hinchcliffe.

The Hon. John Hinchcliffe will speak as follows:

O'Fallon, St. Clair County, Sept. 10.
East St. Louis, St. Clair County, Sept. 11.
Bethalto, Madison County, Sept. 12.
Alton, Madison County, Sept. 13.
Springfield, Sangamon County, Sept. 14.
Danville, Vermillion County, Sept. 18.
Joliet, Will County, Sept. 18.
Braidwood, Will County, Sept. 19.
Morris, Grundy County, Sept. 20.
Gardner, Grundy County, Sept. 21.
LaSalle, LaSalle County, Sept. 23.
Pekin, Tazewell County, Sept. 25.
Peoria, Peoria County, Sept. 26.
Chebanse, Kankakee County, Sept. 28.
Canton, Fulton County, Sept. 30.
Murphysboro, Jackson County, Oct. 3.
Duquoin, Perry County, Oct. 4.
Belleville, St. Clair County, Oct. 7.

Congressional Meetings.

The following meetings have been appointed:

Elgin, Sept. 9, General Black and Senator Trumbull.
Macomb, Sept. 10, Governor Palmer and the Hon. J. V. Eustace.
Cambridge, Sept. 11, Senator Trumbull, Gen. Black, and the Hon. J. V. Eustace.
Rock Island, Sept. 13.
Virginia, Sept. 14.
Champaign, Sept. 16.
Jacksonville, Sept. 16.
Warsaw, Sept. 17.
Clayton, Sept. 18.

Governor Koerner and the Hon. J. C. Robinson.

Peoria, Sept. 13, Senator Trumbull, the Hon. G. Koerner, and the Hon. Edward Rummel.
Champaign, Sept. 14, Governor Palmer and the Hon. J. C. Robinson.
Freeport, Sept. 17, Senator Trumbull and the Hon. G. Koerner.
Effingham, Sept. 19, Governor Palmer and the Hon. J. C. Robinson.
Centralia, Sept. 19, Governor Palmer and the Hon. J. C. Robinson.
Edwardsville, Sept. 21, Senator Trumbull and the Hon. J. C. Robinson.
Olney, Sept. 24, Governor Palmer and the Hon. J. C. Robinson.
Quincy, Sept. 24, Senator Trumbull, the Hon. J. C. Robinson, and the Hon. G. Koerner.
Carlinville, Sept. 25, Governor Palmer and the Hon. J. C Robinson.
Rising, between Peru and La Salle, Sept. 27, Governor Palmer, the Hon. J. V. Eustace, and the Hon. G. Koerner.

A GLANCE AT HISTORY.

Not many years have elapsed since slavery was a recognized National institution throughout the entire United States. Its interests were jealously guarded by society, the laws, and the Church, and the prayers of the latter went up for its increase, just as fervently in the North as in the South. The seaboard States, especially New England, drove a thriving business in importing slaves, and hundreds of families are now living whose vast estates have been inherited from the traffic in human flesh, and the rich cargoes of ivory and slaves which used to load the wharves of Newburyport, Salem, Providence, Boston, and other seacoast towns. In Massachusetts, negroes enough could not be obtained, and so Indians were captured, and bought and sold into slavery, and the pious flocks of Increase and Cotton Mather thought it no sin to pray the Lord that the raids of the mighty men of war upon the Indians might yield a plentiful harvest of slaves. There are millionaires yet living in Rhode Island who owe their great wealth, or at least the foundation of it, to speculation in Congo negroes. Slavery existed in some parts of New Jersey up to the outbreak of the late war, as is shown by the census of 1800. There are many men living today in Illinois, who, under the Black Laws, have bought even free negroes and held them in temporary slavery. While slavery existed in the North and flourished, no one questioned its right, either human or divine. It was as much an institution of the North as the churches, the courts, or the schools, and its rights were as closely guarded. But there came a time when slavery ceased to be profitable as an investment, from many causes. Those who first agitated its abolition met with the same mobs and vituperation, and the same popular outbursts of indignation, which greeted Cassius M. Clay in Kentucky, and Gratz Brown in Missouri, when they first raised the banner of Emancipation. At last, however, its unprofitableness caused it to be abandoned. In the South, slavery gradually became profitable, by reason of the climate, soil, and productions, especially of some staples which could not be cultivated by white men at all. The invention of the cotton-gin vastly increased the profits of slavery, and, in the opinion of Abraham Lincoln, this, more than anything else, made it a fixed institution of the South. The causes, therefore, which had abolished it in the North, did not exist in the South. The negro could not be made profitable in commercial business, in manufacturing, or in working the sterile farms of New England; but in the rice and cotton fields, and sugar-plantations of the South, where white labor could not be made available, his labor was richly remunerative. Slavery thus became an established form of society and an apparently indispensable mode of life and means of wealth, and was thoroughly ingrained into the social system. Northern men who went South and lived upon the fruits of slavery enjoyed it, and those who went there and owned slaves or managed them were worse taskmasters than the Southerners themselves. The South, when their institution was endangered, resisted that danger, and sought to avert it by secession, for which they have been fearfully, and, as we think, justly, punished. And now is it not a fair question to ask, What Northern man would have acted differently under the same circumstances? We ask the most bitter opponent of slavery, or the most unreasoning partisan: Suppose that you had been living in the South, that you had been born and bred in the atmosphere of slavery, that you had been brought up to regard it as a rightful institution, that your money had been invested in it, and that you looked to it for the accumulation of wealth, and that it was very profitable, and your preacher told you it was sanctioned by the Bible, what would you have done, or wherein would you have acted differently from the Southern slave-owner? The chances are ten to one that you would have done the same thing that he did. It is certain that, if the North had been in the place of the South, the vast majority would have tried the fortunes of secession rather than yield slavery.

But, whatever the South has done, or the North would have done, the South committed a heinous political crime, and has paid the penalty. Her fields have been laid waste by the ravages of war. Her cities have been destroyed. Her people have been pillaged. Her material resources have been stripped from her, and the servile race has been raised to an equality with those who formerly held them in servitude, and in some respects to a superiority over them. All this the war accomplished, and from the commencement of General Grant's Administration to the present time this punishment has continued. She has been held at the mercy of unprincipled carpet-baggers, who have stuck what little was left. Her miseries have not diminished since the close of the war. The work of impoverishment has gone steadily on. Her resources have been drained to the bottom. Her debt has been piled up mountains high, and bankruptcy or repudiation now stares her in the face. And, notwithstanding all these years of misery and punishment; notwithstanding that she has taken her old place in the Union of States, ready and willing to fulfil her obligations under the Constitution,—now come the office-holders and declare that "the bloody chasm" shall not be filled up; and to this end they appeal to the old animosities and sectional feelings, which should have been buried when the war was closed. Considering that in all probability we should have done the same as the South, had we been placed under the same circumstances and influences, is it not time to stop this persecution? Has not the South been sufficiently punished? Is it not time for Reconciliation and Peace, that the country may no longer be harassed with these old hatreds and jealousies, but may be allowed, North and South together, to enjoy the restoration of harmony and its resultant blessings?

A SOUTHERN STATEMENT OF THE CASE.

The best way for the people of the North to ascertain how the people of the South feel and act, and upon what grounds they oppose Grant and support Greeley, is to read the speeches delivered in that country by the people themselves. We receive daily exchanges from every State whose people were in rebellion, and we have failed to discover a sentiment by any public man of character which might not be as appropriately uttered in Chicago or Boston, and which would not meet with as hearty a response in these cities as at any part of the South. As an illustration of this, we cite a speech made by the Hon. Wiley P. Harris, of Tennessee, made in Vicksburg, Mississippi, in which place the supposed antagonism of race exists as strongly as anywhere in the country. In that speech, addressed to a community which the Grant papers represent as filled with hostility to the Union, inflamed with a desire for revenge upon the negro, and bound together by the fearful oaths of the Ku-Klux to keep this country forever disturbed by civil war, he plainly stated the case as understood by the whole resident white population of the South. He declared that the events of the past twelve years had established that the alienated sections of the country required a cordial reunion under a common Government of law; that common safety and happiness were only to be found in maintaining that union and that law. The country was of more importance than party, and it was the duty of the people to consider what the National exigencies demanded, without reference to the effect upon this or that party.

The South at last had been taught, and now fully conceded that slavery was the accident and not the principle of their existence as a people; that, while the Constitution sheltered it, it sheltered something else of higher and permanent value. The loss of slavery, if an evil, was but temporary, and would each year grow less. Its extinction was final, and the South had joyfully discovered that her people could flourish without it. Impartial suffrage is no longer a question of politics; it is as unalterably fixed in the Constitution as any other part of our system. It is as indelible as citizenship. The colored people alone seem not to understand that suffrage is beyond the reach of repeal; they act as if they thought its continuance was staked on the result of each election. There is no precedent in history where once conferred that suffrage was ever taken away. Mr. Harris, speaking for the whole resident white population of the South, declared that of necessity the National Administration must be a Republican Administration, on three fundamental points: "First, that the Constitution establishes an indissoluble Union. Second, that slavery is forever extinct. Third, that impartial suffrage is a fixed and unalterable feature of the American system, whether for weal or woe. No National party can exist without it has these as a basis. No party would venture to ask the suffrages of the people of the United States, and yet deny either of them."

The people of the South, he claimed, in accepting the Cincinnati platform, but attest these palpable truths. That is the end they seek, and they hail with satisfaction the promise that it is to be accomplished. The Cincinnati movement has forever put an end to all political organizations founded upon any other doctrine than that these three points are unalterably fixed, and are no longer to be questioned. With these questions forever banished from party politics, there was hope for the country, and hope for the people of the South. These subjects being withdrawn, there is a promise of honest civil government, and of home government, and confidence and good will between the National Government and the Governments of all the States. They rejoice that the whole American people have discovered that good local governments are essential to the welfare of the people, and that Massachusetts, while resisting the destruction of her State Government, finds it to be her policy to defend the like Governments in other States. It is conceded that it would be impossible for the General Government to fairly administer the affairs of the several States without in the end assuming powers of the most dangerous character. Under the present Administration this has been attempted with reference to the Southern States. The Northern people who met at Cincinnati have become aware of the danger of recognizing any such policy, which threatens, in the end, to become general, and which enables an existing Administration to wield an immense vote, thereby controlling the National Government, and perpetuating a party and an Administration in defiance of popular opinion. The State Governments at the South are illustrations of the administration of State affairs by the National Government. The South has no Governments, in the American sense of the word. Alien to the States in which they exist, defiant of public opinion, without a constituency, they are but the mere party outposts of the Administration, garrisoned by office-holders and jobbers, with the privilege of paying themselves; and what that means is a scandal of the age. Such Governments can only exist by force; they live in disturbance, and will either provide or invent it.

The Southern people do not expect to fill Mr. Greeley's Cabinet, or exert any control over his Administration. They support him in the expectation of having a Republican Administration not insensible to the evils which sorely oppress them; an Administration that will recognize the Southern States as part of the National family; and that State government there will be, according to the measure of their resources, what it is in Pennsylvania and Ohio. The Cincinnati movement was not a Southern measure; but it holds out a hope that the people of the South will be dealt with as the people of other States, and it recognizes as a paramount duty the complete pacification and fraternization of the States.

In this frank statement of the reasons inducing the white residents of the South to prefer Mr. Greeley to General Grant, the reader will look in vain for any suggestion of treason of strife, or of antagonism to the peace and best interests of all races and all parts of the Union. It is a statement that appeals as earnestly to the thoughtful consideration of the people of the North as it does to those of the South. It is the declaration of a people held in political bondage, that they hope for the success of the man and of the party that willegive them liberty and the right to govern themselves.

CLAIMS AND CLAIM-AGENTS.

The Pittsburgh *Commercial* says:

Since the nomination of Greeley by the Confederates, a number of agents in the Southern States have commenced the collection of claims of persons whose property was taken for public use, or destroyed to facilitate the operations of the armies of the United States, but who are willing to prove loyalty to the Government, owing to their having rendered aid and comfort to the Rebellion.

This calls to mind the fact that, ever since the close of the war, there has been a pile of Southern claims accumulating at Washington in the hands of claim-agents "for property taken for public use, or destroyed to facilitate the operations of the armies of the United States." The agents of these claims, finding that there was no law for paying them, and that the Court of Claims could not take cognizance of them, laid siege to Congress, and finally, to the surprise of the whole country, got a law passed about two years ago recognizing their validity and establishing a Commission to audit them. People who talk about Horace Greeley following Southern claims will do well to bear in mind that it was a Republican Congress that passed, and a Republican President that approved, the only law on the statute-book by which the validity of such claims was ever established,—the only law under which it would be possible ever to pay one of them. It was only a few days ago that Secretary Boutwell paid $75,000 out of the Treasury, without authority of law, to purchase certain Confederate archives, ostensibly to find out whether any of these claimants pretending to be loyal were actually disloyal during the war. The real object of the purchase was to get material for a lot of campaign documents, which have been duly published and circulated, and have not produced the slightest effect on the public mind. But, supposing that they were actually purchased to help the Southern Claims Commission to ferret out disloyal claimants, this fact merely shows that $75,000 has been expended to repair, in part, an error which ought never to have been committed.

We looked upon the Southern Claims bill as an outrage at the time it was passed, and our opinion of it has not been changed, but rather heightened, by what has happened since. There is no knowing how much these claims amount to, or to what figure they will ultimately swell. The Board itself consists of three Commissioners, with the usual number of Secretaries and clerks, costing a large sum every year for running expenses. We do not know how many claims they have allowed, or how many they have rejected; but we do know that, if the bill had never been passed (as it ought not to have been), there would now be no occasion for the apprehensions expressed by the Pittsburgh *Commercial*.

If this is a time for paying claims not recognized by law, it would be much better for Congress to pay the old French spoliation claims. This was a case where our Government demanded a certain sum of money from France to pay the claims of certain citizens of the United States who had suffered losses in one of the wars in which France was engaged. The money was collected and put into the Treasury, and has never been paid to the claimants to this day. The original claimants are nearly all dead, and there is no probability that their heirs will ever get a cent of the money. If the Government should now collect the Alabama claims from Great Britain and put the money in the Treasury, and refuse to pay it to the owners of the vessels and cargoes destroyed by the Alabama, it would be a parallel case to that of the French spoliations. Why, we ask, should we run ahead to pay these Southern claims, *as we are now doing*, when we refuse to deliver up to Northern citizens the money we collected for them from France?

We suggest to the Pittsburgh *Commercial*, and to all other journals which are alarmed at the prospect of Horace Greeley's inability to discriminate with sufficient nicety between different classes of war claimants, that they unite with us in urging the next Congress to repeal the law under which the Southern Claims Commission are acting, and thus send those amiable gentlemen and their Secretaries and clerks, and the troops of claim-agents at their heels, about their business. The South will be much better off to be rid of those who are stealing her railroad bonds and taxing her citizens, than to secure payment in full of these claims; and the North certainly will be gratified to have that drain from the Treasury stopped up.

A CARPET-BAGGER ON CARPET-BAGGERS.

The September number of the *Kansas Magazine* contains a long defence of the carpet-bag State Governments, by a Mr. Albert Griffin, who says that he was driven out of one Southern State, at the beginning of the War, for his anti-slavery sentiments, and that he has been in another, for the last four years, laboring in the "work of reconstruction." He flies seven pleas. He says:

I. That the Republican party there is not borrupt. Nineteen-twentieths of those who are denounced as thieving carpet-baggers and scallawags are upright men, and known to be such by those who malign them.

The test of party honesty is the men put into power and the principles carried into effect. If Smith and Jones are personally honest, but persist in voting for Brown, whom they know to be a thief, the party they form is a corrupt one, and ought to be dissolved. The Republican party of the South has put forward Holden in North Carolina, Scott and Moses in South Carolina, Reed in Florida, Bullock in Georgia, Spencer in Alabama, Kellogg in Louisiana, Clayton and Hadley in Arkansas, and Davis in Texas. The principles its leaders have carried into effect can be summed up in one phrase: "Steal all you can." In the light of these facts, it is evident that the party is corrupt. If nineteen-twentieths of the carpet-baggers are "upright men," why have they suffered the other twentieth to increase the debts of nine States by over $201,000,000?

Mr. Griffin declares:

II. The fact that these terms are still applied indiscriminately to all who oppose, and that every Southern Republican is still socially ostracised, demonstrate that the feelings of the majorities of the South are substantially unchanged.

It is natural that the South, robbed and oppressed by Northern adventurers, should look with suspicion upon all Northerners. The way to remove this feeling is to remove its cause,—the prominence of Northern scoundrels. There can be no more satisfactory evidence of change than the hearty acceptance by Southern Democrats of the Cincinnati platform and nominees. Four years ago, such an acceptance would have been an impossibility.

We are told:

III. It is highly dishonorable to indulge men to occupy a dangerous position, and then unite with others in making war upon them. The Republicans of the North induced those of the South to enter the political fight by the most positive pledges of sympathy and support and those who now disregard those pledges dishonor themselves.

The Republicans in the North invited honest Republicans in the South to come forward. Instead of that, we have seen in power, for the last four years, a riff-raff of thieves, calling themselves Republicans, because, under that title, they could get better chances to steal. These men have received no "positive pledges of sympathy and support;" and, if they had, it would be the duty of every honest man to break such rashly-given pledges, and help thrust the rogues from the places they disgrace. Mr. Griffin further alleges:

IV. It is neither just nor politic to help an opposing political party to power merely because its members are suffering from the legitimate effects of their own crimes and misdemeanors.

The reforms secured by the constitutional amendments are the "legitimate effects of the crimes and misdemeanors" of the South. It is absurd to say that the carpet-bag curse is a legitimate effect. The Liberal movement is not intended to restore the Democratic party to power. It is intended to put the National and State Governments into the hands of honest men, without regard to their former affiliations with either of the two great organizations that have outlived their usefulness. Has the Republican party, formed of Whigs, Free-Soilers, and Democrats, restored any one of those parties to power?

Mr. Griffin further says:

V. If the sufferings of these men were as great as they allege, they would not persist in continuing the line of policy which brought the woes complained of upon them. The proper course for them to pursue is to say to their opponents: "Nominate the best man you can, and we will do the same, so that, no matter which succeeds, the Government will be properly administered." Until they do take this stand, it cannot be evident to all that what they say about the burdens they are staggering under is false, or that they are literally and truly resolved to "rule or ruin."

Our author seems to think that all the South has to do in order to get good officials is to ask a set of thieves to nominate such. So, if Pennsylvania wished to be freed from the Cameron Ring, she should ask that friend of Grant to "nominate the best man he can get,"—and get her answer in the shape of Hartranft. Judge Merrimon is one of the ablest and purest men in North Carolina, and yet he was counted out.

This next plea is amusing:

VI. Although there is much to deplore respecting the situation of affairs at the South, it is undoubtedly true that there has been a steady improvement, during the past three years, politically, financially, and socially.

The political improvement is indicated, we presume, by the nomination of Moses in South Carolina. The only States which really show such improvement are those which have been rescued from the carpet-baggers,—a fact which we commend to the attention of their apologists. The financial improvement may be summed up thus: Debts, in 1871, $42,000,000; in 1871, $243,000,000. Increase, $201,000,000, of which nearly every dollar has been incurred since 1868. "Social improvement" is a very indefinite phrase. In certain things, the tone of Southern society has undoubtedly risen. In others, it has fallen. Mr. Griffin wisely avoids attempting to show how the presence of thieves in high office has raised it.

Finally, we are informed:

VII. If this improvement does not continue, the responsibility will rest upon those who sustain the so-called Liberal Republican movement.

The Liberals are perfectly willing to take this responsibility. They believe that the South will do better under honest than under corrupt rule, and under the party of Peace than under the party of Hate.

The despatches of the Western Associated Press, which seem to have been edited by the Postmasters for two or three weeks past, tell us that "Senator Bayard, of New Jersey," is one of the great guns at the Louisville Convention.

Senator Bayard, of Delaware, is a supporter of Greeley, and is now in Europe. There is no such person as "Senator Bayard, of New Jersey."

The members of the Philadelphia Convention are busily engaged in denouncing Horace Greeley for his "traitorous" conduct in signing Davis' bail bond. The Philadelphia Convention gave Gerrit Smith a most enthusiastic welcome. No other man had such an ovation as he. Yet he signed that bail-bond, and wrote, long before, this letter:

PETERSBORO, N. Y., Aug. 24, 1866.

PRESIDENT JOHNSON—*Honored Sir:* I have this day subscribed a memorial to be presented in behalf of Jefferson Davis. I have done so with great satisfaction, for I deem this very long confinement in prison without a trial an insult to the South, a very deep injustice to himself, and a no less deep dishonor to the Government and the country.

I trust that Mr. Davis may either have a speedy trial, or be admitted to bail. There are many men who have no sympathy with his political views, and who opposed slavery as strenuously as he upheld it, that would eagerly become his bail. I am one of them. Your obedient servant,

GERRIT SMITH.

Moreover, in May, 1867, Henry Wilson, nominated for Vice President by the men who now denounce Greeley for his manly and humane course in signing Davis' bond, introduced into the Senate a resolution demanding the speedy trial of the Confederate President, or his release on bail, or even on his own recognizance.

The last number of *Appleton's Journal* contains a very rose-colored view of the English. We learn that among them there is "no loudness, no impertinence, no oaths, no swaggering, no bullying." How is it, then, that the average Briton is famed, throughout the Continent, as a loud, impertinent, swearing, swaggering, bullying intruder? Where, too, are the novels that depict modern English life? Of the dozens that claim to do so, not one, except "Tobias," the ripe fruit of flunkeyism, paints any such Arcadia as this. Further, the writer tells us:

Women, too, are treated better than in this country,—more as a sister and an equal,—and less subject to insult and to leering, brutal comments than here.

If this is so, it is strange that American women have so much more freedom than their English sisters. The whole article is in this almost fulsome strain of adulation. The author—a Mr. Burroughs—seems to find nothing whatever to condemn. If half he says were true, Mr. Matthew Arnold could cease his labors in the cause of "sweetness and light," and enjoy seeing the full fruition of his theory of life among his countrymen.

Attorney General Williams has evidently been promised a place in the next Cabinet, if Grant is re-elected. He has formally withdrawn from the active contest he has been carrying on for the Oregon Senatorship. Mr. Williams has deserved this favor from his chief. He is the one man in the Cabinet who has not disgraced the Nation. While Fish was blundering in the Howard case, and the Pereda case, and the Treaty imbroglio; and Belknap was selling first-class arms to be used in slaughtering Germans; and Delano was concocting railway speculations with Simon Cameron; and Boutwell was increasing the public debt by $150,000,000; and Robeson was paying the Secors $80,000 of the people's money; and Creswell was struggling to transfer $443,000 to his friend Chorpenning,—during these events, Mr. Williams has discreetly done nothing, and is, therefore, guilty of no folly. This is, however, unjust. He has lately prevented Creswell's consummating his postal-card job, and deserves the thanks of the people for that feat. It is not an easy task to stop our Postmaster General when he is bent on extracting money from the Treasury.

The Charleston *News*, owned by carpet-baggers, and therefore devoted to Grant, says:

We wish that the Hon. Charles Sumner, United States Senator from Massachusetts, could be persuaded to take the stump for Greeley in the low country of South Carolina. Why? Only because, if he did not cure the negroes, the negroes would cure him.

In 1856, when Sumner was fighting for equal rights, a white South Carolinian tried to murder him. In 1872, when the Senator is still fighting for equal rights, another South Carolinian tries to incite the negroes of that State to murder him, if he ventures to address them. This savage editorial is a type of the way the campaign in the South is being conducted. Every demagogue, from Boutwell down, does his best to keep alive all the race-distinctions and race-hates that are condemned by every consideration of policy and humanity.

Nearly every religious paper in the United States has commented on the proposed "prayer-gauge," as an English reviewer called it. That treatment of it is not of the fairest kind. The proposition was this: Let us take a certain ward in a certain hospital and concentrate the prayers of Christendom on its inmates: then, if prayer is of any effect, the patients in that ward will recover more quickly, and in greater numbers, than those in any other similarly-situated ward. To this the religious press says: If we pray with the design of testing God, we shall avail nothing, for He does not answer such prayers. But Christians would not do this. They would have faith in the answering of their petitions, if they believe their creeds. This objection does not seem fair. It begs the question.

A Grant paper announces that the leading spirits of the recent Soldiers' and Sailors' meeting at Portland were "those battle-scarred heroes, General Benjamin F. Butler and General Henry Wilson, who mowed how fields were won." We were aware that Butler got badly scarred at Big Bethel, Dutch Gap, and Fort Fisher, but where did Wilson get his scars? On what field of battle did he flesh his maiden sword? We were aware that he got badly scarred in his recent tilt with the Rev. Mr. Dennison on the Temperance question, and by Albert Pike in the matter of Know-Nothingism; but these conflicts took place after the war of the Rebellion. Will not some Grant paper inform us where Wilson raised those battle-scars?

Bessemer, the great steel-manufacturer, is about to make a cannon twenty yards long. The diameter will be forty-eight inches, and the bore thirty inches. It is expected to discharge a shell weighing five tons. In order to give sufficient impetus to this monster projectile, the load of powder will be placed in five different chambers. The explosion of the first will start the shell, and, as it flashes along the barrel, the other four will be fired successively, each of them giving it fresh force. If the plan proves feasible, the defences of the world, on land and sea, will be useless. A five-ton shell would dismantle any fort, except, perhaps, Gibraltar, and would sink any ironclad afloat.

The Grant press is troubled by the Forney-Cameron warfare in Pennsylvania. Knowing that Cameron's character cannot be blackened more than it already is, the organists have lately devoted themselves to destroying Colonel Forney's reputation, which they have done in the most thorough and satisfactory manner. Since, however, the Republican party in Pennsylvania is composed of the Forney and Cameron wings, and since the latter is notoriously corrupt, and the former is declared, by hisown party, to be so, what ought an honest Republican to do?

The official debt-statement for Sept. 1 shows that the decrease since March 1, 1869, including the cash in the Treasury, is $343,141,289. As the cash on hand amounts, by the same statement, to $34,853,550, the real reduction, in three years and six months of Grant's Administration, has been $308,287,750. In three years and seven months of Johnson's Administration, the corresponding reduction was $470,276,050. To equal this showing, the debt must be decreased, during September, by $206,968,920.

A gentleman who came West in the same train with the "Pennsylvania delegation" to the Louisville Convention, informs us that he made the acquaintance of a Mr. Conrad, of Tyrone, an influential member of said delegation, who told him that his (Conrad's) transportation and expenses were paid by the Grant Committee in Philadelphia; that he (Conrad) obtained his ticket at the Grant Headquarters; and, furthermore, that he did not care to make any concealment of the fact.

POLITICAL.

The Elgin *Gazette*, which supports Grant and Huribut, says of the Hon. Z. Eastman, of that city, who was years ago editor of the *Western Citizen*, of Chicago, the first Anti-Slavery advocate in the State:

Mr. Eastman is not a Greeley man. He is not a Grant man. He says he intends to take no active part with Republicans and Democrats, for the purpose of shewing up their misdeeds, shortcomings, blunders and bad conduct, and, as the Fusionists offer great inducements for him in this particular branch of oratory, he is liable to be found occasionally making speeches at Greeley meetings.

We regret, sincerely, that Mr. Eastman cannot be reconciled to General Huribut, for he has a large circle of friends who confide in his judgment and value his opinion of men and measures.

—The opposition to John C. Sherl, for Senator in the Danville District, will elect a Liberal Republican, in a district which gave Grant 1,200 majority. The Danville *Times* says:

Hundreds of the best Republicans inform us that they will not be a party to the election of a man to an important office whose record is so cloudy as is Mr. Sherl's. The many matters of abstract views upon political questions will be set aside on election-day, in accordance with the advice given by one of the noblest citizens of Illinois in a speech at Lincoln Hall about three years ago. On that occasion Governor Oglesby said that under no circumstances could he be induced to vote upon his record as a candidate, who had misdirected a dime of public money. That was what some advice.

—General William B. Stokes, the Tennessee ex-Congressman, has decided not to support Greeley. Nobody asked him to.

—If the Hon. Horatio Seymour shall be nominated, to-day, at Syracuse, for Governor of New York, he will have all the omens and precedents in his favor. He was elected Governor in 1852, again in 1862, and will be, if a candidate, again in 1872.

—The Bayard of the Louisville Convention, whom the manipulators of news in the interest of that concern persist in calling "Senator Bayard," is Samuel J. Bayard, formerly Secretary of the Camden & Amboy Railroad, and has never been a Senator, even in the New Jersey Legislature. The purpose is, of course, to give the impression that Senator Bayard, of Delaware, is in the Blanton Duncan movement; whereas Senator Bayard, who is now in Vienna under treatment for his eyes, has, publicly, by letter, declared his support of Greeley.

—"Mack" telegraphs from Louisville to the St. Louis *Democrat*:

Morton says Indiana is good for 24,000 for Grant on a square issue between him and Greeley, and he does not believe that the Bourbon movement will have much influence one way or the other.

—The Rochester (N. Y.) *Democrat and Chronicle* (and Postmaster) says: "Mr. Greeley was formerly a Know-Nothing." At that rate, also, we presume Mr. Greeley was secretly the owner of "niggers." Does $4,000 a year pay for such lies?

—In nine counties of North Carolina, which gave large majorities for Caldwell, there were 2,800 more votes polled than there are legal votes in those counties.

—Henry Clews, the banker, denies that he gave $10,000 to the Greeley fund, though we never saw it charged that he did. If he puts up the stakes, Collector Bailey, whose bond he signed, the country won't ask anything further.

—Having determined to color as a lot of Kentuckians in Indiana, by voting purposes, the Democrats are trying to divert attention from their proceedings by vociferously charging the Republicans with similar strategy. The device is too thin to deceive any one, however, and the polls along the Ohio River will be closely watched for black Kentuckians on election day.

—At all Kentucky Post Offices, the Blanton Duncan posters, printed at the Government Printing Office in Washington, have been and are conspicuously displayed.

—General B. F. Cheatham issues a circular address to the people of Tennessee, saying, among other things, that one of the objections urged against him is, that "a man is wanted in Congress who can make Rome howl," and he adds that he is no such man.

—There are now three candidates for Governor of Tennessee,—the Hon. A. S. Colyar, of Nashville, having announced that he is a candidate for that office.

—The Edgefield *Advertiser*, not thinking the prospect for reform encouraging from either of the Republican factions in South Carolina, nominates General M. C. Butler for Governor.

—Wilson's speech in the Senate, denouncing Mr. Benjamin as "one of that hated race who stoned the prophets and crucified the Redeemer of the world," goes very well with Grant's order in Mississippi, in which he ordered the *Jews, as a class*, to leave the Department, and in which he proceeded to heap all sorts of opprobrium upon them.

—When a Grant journal gets the "blues," its reading is that the cause of the Greeley movement has come to a dead stand.

—Charles M. Croswell, of Adrian, is a candidate for re-election to the Michigan Legislature.

—General Dix is chiefly noted for his immense respectability. So was the Duke of Buckingham, of whom Dryden wrote:

A man so moderate, he seemed to be
Not one, but all mankind's epitome:
Stiff in opinions, always in the wrong,
Was everything, by starts and nothing long.

—Here is a specimen of the kind of men who represent the Grant party in South Carolina. E. J. Cook offers himself as a candidate for the Legislature in Beaufort County, and will be elected. The Baltimore *Gazette* has the original notice of candidacy. It reads thus:

Notis to all Sitizen of Beaufor County South Carolina a Candidate for The house of Represent-ive from this county I announce myself to Mr Sarie in slavery time. E. J. COOK.

The present Legislature has about eighty of that kind of people, to forty others who are not so illiterate.

COUNTY LEGISLATION.

Commissioners' Per Diem—Do Dead Men Require Nurses?—Equalization of Taxes.

The Board of County Commissioners met again yesterday afternoon, Commissioner Ashton in the chair. There were present Commissioners Ashton, Crawford, Harris, Harrison, Harding, Jones, Lonergan, Roelle, Strauss, and Wahl.

The bills of the Commissioners were presented as follows: Herting, $162; Roelle, $216; Wahl, $170.40; Harris, $270; Lonergan, $250; Skelly, $216.70; Crawford, $268.80. They were referred to the Committee on Miscellaneous Claims.

Commissioner Stearns, from the Committee on Equalization of Taxes, reported that the Assessor's books containing the assessments on the real property in Cook County had been returned, and he requested the Board to take some action regarding them. The report was accepted.

Commissioner Jones said that the duties of the Warden of the County Hospital had been increased by the erection of the morgue—he was liable to be awakened at any hour of the night to take charge of bodies, and the Commissioner therefore submitted the following resolution:

WHEREAS, The establishment of this morgue and the increased number of patients in the Cook County Hospital has rendered more laboring duty on the Warden of said hospital; therefore be it

Resolved, That from and after the 1st day of September his pay be increased to $1,200 per annum.

Commissioner Stearns favored an increase of the Warden's salary, as his duties were very onerous, and moved as an amendment that $200 be added, and that it be allowed from the 17th of June last, when the morgue was completed.

Commissioner Harrison understood that an officer, who has to take char

...ederal. By agreement, argument on said motion postponed till 6th inst.

BANKRUPTCY.

3,157. Peter Adler. Filed creditors' petition and ...quired provisional warrant for seizure.

NEW SUITS.

10 896. Pierce et al. v. Harman et al. Assumpsit, $6,000.

The Superior Court.

CHAMBERS—JUDGE GARY.

861. Waller v. Montgomery. On motion of defendant, time to plead, answer or demur extended till the 16th.

864. Van Vleet v. Post. Proof of publication, default and reference to Magruder.

865. Same v. Same. Proof of publication, default and reference to Scott.

866. Same v. Same. Proof of publication, default and reference to Scott.

867. Same v. Same. Proof of publication, default and referred to Scott.

868. Fletcher v. Fletcher. Same.

871. Lloyd v. Lloyd. Same.

872. Carney v. Carson. Same.

873. Kohn v. Kohn. Same.

874. Kaufman v. Kaufman. Same.

875. Hemmling v. Hemmling. Same.

876. Smith v. Smith. Proof of publication, default, and reference to Magruder.

877. Vezy v. Vezy. Proof of publication, default and reference to Scott.

878. Vezy v. Vezy. Proof of publication, default and reference to Magruder.

879. Bartlett v. Bartlett. Same.

880. Hunsaker v. Hunsaker. Same.

881. Crandall v. Crandall. Proof of publication, default and reference to Scott.

882. Arnold, Greene & Co. v. Walker. Proof of publication, and default v. J. E. Walker and Known heirs of August Walter, deceased, and reference to Scott.

883. Byford v. Moore, Grant and Swift enter their appearance for the State Savings Institution, and William D. Moore for his wife to plead, answer or demur extended to the 16th inst.

LAW—JUDGE PORTER.

3,270. Phillips v. Lyman. Motion of petitioner to amend petition instanter.

3,156. Garrison v. Ward. Motion of defendant to continue denied, and time to plead extended both ways.

3,159. Wolley v. Parks. Motion of defendant to change venue sustained, and venue changed to Lake.

3,160. Garrison v. Barnett. Motion of defendant to dismiss denied.

3,162. Shobeck v. Hitt. Motion of defendant to plead, answer or demur extended to the 16th inst.

3,163. Bouton v. Wilder. Motion of defendant to file special pleas instanter.

3,164. Becker v. Ingram. Motion of defendant for leave to file special pleas.

3,165. Dunmore v. Lycoming. By agreement leave to plead extended to 16th inst.

3,477. Minter v. McKinnon. Motion of plaintiff to dismiss at plaintiff's costs.

3,241. Republic Insurance Company v. Leonard. On motion of plaintiff, dismissed at plaintiff's costs.

3,272. Baldwin v. Fleischman et al. By stipulation, dismissed at plaintiff's costs.

SUPERIOR COURT.

99,865. Kingsbury v. Third National Bank. Case, to replevin; $4,313.88. Plaintiff claims the right to original ownership of certain property sold to the above amount, deposited in the Bank as the bank, and detained by them.

99,866. Chamberlain et al. v. Starr, and garnishee. Same.

99,868. Jefferson v. Hartman et al. Bill for the administration of the estate of plaintiff's late husband, and for the restoration of the 3 cent-o's will.

The Circuit Court.

CHAMBERS—JUDGE FARWELL.

2,810. McClelland v. Walker. Motion to answer of defendant Walker extended 30 days to date as of Sept. 3.

2,857. Pfeil v. Iokesberg. Order that the trustee pay certain moneys into Court.

NEW SUITS.

2,933. Gerus vs. Neidenhoffer. Assumpsit, $1,500.

2,934. Stewart v. McGregor. Assumpsit, $1,000.

2,935. Sullivan vs. Ellerton. Assumpsit, $1,000.

2,936. Cook vs. Kranemarck. Assumpsit, $1,000.

2,937. Austin vs. Duffy. Narr and ooguovth.

2,938. Hilliard et al. v. Whitman. Mechanic's lien on a building erected by petitioners on defendant's lot No. 9 of the resubdivision of Block 1 of Canal Trustees' subdivision of the south east ¼ of Section 6, Township 39, north of 14, east of the third principal meridian, for a balance on account of labor.

2,939. Flanagan vs. Schall and others. Declaration of amount claimed to close on account.

2,940. Burkhart vs. Gage et al. Petition to perfect title to land.

2,941. Swan v. Gibbs. Assumpsit, $6,000.

2,942. Cordeman v. Lull. Bill. Plaintiff's petition for a lien on defendant's property, situate on Maple street, corner of Orchard street, to secure an account of $173.15 for work done and to be paid for.

2,943. Gatling v. Schweizer. Appeal from Justice Walsh's Court.

2,944. Suppressed for service.

2,945. Frank Zimmerman vs. Horace Warren. Assumpsit, $500.

2,946. Davis v. Claus. Affidavit for capias.

2,947. Kelly v. Donahue. Appeal from Justice Walsh's Court.

2,948. Kelly v. Donahue. Appeal from Justice Walsh's Court.

2,949. Barkers. Appeal from Justice Smith's Court.

2,950. Bulgerin v. Jameson et al. Same.

2,951. Schleicher v. O'Neil. Same.

2,952. Fortsch v. Oliver. Same.

The County Court.

ESTATE—JUDGE WALLACE.

Frederick Wieting. Grant of administration to Frederika Spath, Mother. Bond of $500 approved.

Duncan MacNab. Grant of administration to Jessie MacNab. Bond approved.

SPELLING CERTIFICATE.

Ordered, that any and all objections to the granting of application for letters of administration on the estate of Conrad Lang be filed before the coming in of Court at 9 o'clock to-day.

The Criminal Court.

JUDGE TREE.

284. The People v. Adolph Metzger. Appeal. Assault and battery.

GRAND JURY.

A true bill was found against William Sweger for the murder of Kane Higgins, at Winnetka.

Removal.

It will be well for all consumers of hard or soft coal to know that W. M. & J. F. Olcott are now located in our new office at No. 1 LaSalle street (Ogden Block), three doors south of Madison street. They have selected this as a central location with the view of accommodating all of their old customers and new ones, and will be pleased to receive orders at this office. They are about buying their stock of coal for the winter, every order left with these well-known and enterprising dealers will be filled with promptness.

GIFT CONCERT.

$500,000 Cash Distribution

THE GRAND GIFT CONCERT

A FIXED FACT.

September 28 the Day

YOUR TICKETS NOW OR NEVER.

The vast sales of tickets already made, and the increasing demand from all quarters, is rapidly determining the Trustees that the GRAND GIFT CONCERT for the benefit of the Public Library of Kentucky, advertised for Saturday, Sept. 28, 1872, shall positively take place on that day without postponement, as the managers of new enterprises all who expect to participate in the distribution of the many elegant and costly gifts. Over a few days cannot many who wanted tickets secure them. Concert is to be given in the Mammoth Pavilion, now being erected in Louisville with all speed. Stone foundation is finished, and the entire structure will be completed in time. Stone foundation is finished, and the entire structure will be completed in time. Stone foundation is finished, and the entire structure will be completed in time

THE CAMPAIGN.

[Continued from the First Page.]

and, as compared with the Baltimore Convention in respectability of its numbers, in the patriotism of its intentions, they are a body of men that are altogether as far beyond the Baltimore Convention as that Convention was beyond the cowardly and responsible party of ward caucus politicians in one of our large cities. I don't want to say anything very bad about these men. I found what I looked up in the record of those men composing the Baltimore Convention that I did not see one hundred men that I had ever seen before in the ranks of the Democratic party. There were a number of old leaders who had entered into this bargain to surrender our platform of principles and our banners, and our candidates, and our men, into the hands of the most inveterate and factious enemy of our party and country. Yes, they were there, gentlemen, and I think I may say I see no disturbance, that there is no popular feeling against the indorsement of this surrender. Well, gentlemen, look at this protest to-day. [Applause!] Look at the protest of the thousand delegates coming here to enter their protest against this abominable surrender. Now, gentlemen, we are here to-day for an object as sacred as that which gathered our fathers together once in Independence Hall, in Philadelphia. [Applause.] They assembled to declare independence and liberty. You have come to declare independence and liberty. [Loud applause.] That independence and liberty have fallen by the way into corrupt hands, and here come here to reclaim liberty, independence and liberty in the name of the Democratic party of the American people. [Applause.] Gentlemen, we have been cast out of office, but determined to get into office—and, by another one in Independence Hall, in Philadelphia. [Applause.] They assembled to declare independence and liberty. You have come to declare independence and liberty. You have come to-day to declare independence and liberty. [Loud applause.] That independence and liberty have fallen by the way into corrupt hands, and here come here to reclaim liberty, independence and liberty in the name of the Democratic party of the American people. [Applause.] Gentlemen, we have been cast out of office, but determined to get into office—and, by another one in Independence Hall, in Philadelphia—[Applause]—they assembled to declare independence and liberty. You have come to-day